# TNSA – A New Particle Source for Conventional Accelerators

Ali Almomani, IAP- Frankfurt University

Friday Seminar IAP – Frankfurt, January 20, 2012





# Outline



- o Introduction.
- Acceleration Mechanisms TNSA.
- PHELIX Laser and LIGHT Project.
- Injector for Accelerators.
- Experimental Setup Proton Spectrum.
- Pulsed Solenoid LASIN Simulations.
- o CH- Structure.
- Gain Voltage Effect.
- RF Power for a Single Bunch.
- Conclusions and Outlooks.

# **Particle Acceleration**



> Limitations of conventional accelerator technology mean that kilometer-sized accelerators are required for high energy particles.

> The accelerating field gradient of laser protons has at least four order of magnitude larger than of conventional accelerator (TV/m in compare with MV/m).



**LARGE AND SMALL**: (Left) Conventional accelerator at CERN. (Center) Part of the linear accelerator beamline. (Right) Benchtop laser particle accelerator for multi- MeV experiments.



# Ion Acceleration Mechanisms



- > Acceleration mechanisms depend on different conditions:
  - Laser pulse: intensity, energy, per-pulse, polarization, pulse duration....
  - Target properties: density, thickness, mass....
- If the electrons are dominated by a Thermal Spectrum, they will expand in vacuum resulting in a huge accelerating field

Target Normal Sheath Acceleration mechanism (TNSA)

□ If the thermal electrons are suppressed, an accelerating field is induced by the balance between light pressure and electrostatic force

Radiation Pressure Acceleration mechanism (RPA)

# TNSA and Transporting to CH-DTL GOETHE

The TNSA mechanism:

- I. Laser electron acceleration
- II. Charge separation
- III. Quasi static electric field
- IV. Ion acceleration

**TNSA** characteristics:

- 1. Linear polarized laser like in PHELIX.
- 2. Electric field E~TV/m.
- 3. Large angular divergence.
- 4. Energy spread > 100%.
- 5. Target ~ tens of µm.
- 6. Protons are accelerated to MeV range.



AM M

# Characteristics of TNSA protons



#### Benefits:

7

- Large accelerating fields TV/m vs. MV/m
- Short acceleration distance
   10µm vs. ~ 100m
- Short initial pulse duration
   < ps vs. > ns
- Small initial longitudinal emittance 1µeVs vs. 1eVs (CERN SPS)
- Small initial transverse emittance
   < 0.1 mm-mrad vs. 1 πmm-mrad (CERN SPS)</li>
- For special application Laser accelerated ions could be an alternative for conventional

Ion source - RFQ - DTL front end

Ali Almomani, IAP- Frankfurt University

### Challenges:

- High divergence.
- Broad energy spectrum.
- Low repetition rate.
- Poor controlled particle energy.

GOETHE

### PHELIX: Petawatt High - Energy Laser for Heavy - Ion eXperiments.

□ PHELIX has been completed in 2008.

- □ Two Options:
- > Long pulse mode: pulse of length from 0.7 20 ns with kJ energy.
- > Short pulse mode: pulse of length 0.7 20 ps with energy up to 120J.

PHELIX Laser Parameters		
	Long pulse	Short pulse
Pulse duration	0.7 -20 ns	0.7-20 ps
Energy	0.3 - 1 kJ	120 J
Max intensity	$10^{16}W/cm^2$	$10^{20}W/cm^2$
Rep. rate at max power	1 shot every 60 min	



GOETHE UNIVERS

High Power Lasers Worldwide (M. Bussmann)





# Characteristics of Laser-accelerated protons GOETHE

Large number of expriments have been performed to study the dependance of the accelerated ion properties on the laser parameters (rare side acceleration).





# Objectives



- Investigate the physics of proton (ion) generation by ultra-intense laser.
- Collimate the proton beam by a solenoid and transport into bunch rotation cavity.
- Post-acceleration by a CH DTL.
- Analyze 6D phase space distribution and beam transmission.
- Correlation between energy distribution and transverse divergence.
- Optimize laser and target configurations, target solenoid stand-off distance.
- Check of the reproducibility of the beam parameters
- Interaction with intense B- field, context of early de-neutralization and space charge effects.

# Time Plan



	Task	Finished
Phase 1	Setup of laser infrastructure, 1 <sup>st</sup> experimental commissioning	02/2011
Phase 2	Experimental commissioning; solenoid, bunch rotation cavity	02/2012
Phase 3	Ion transport	12/2012

#### > Future proposal:

Post acceleration cavity of generated protons with a conventional accelerator, CH- DTL.

Adaptive optics system, optimize focal spot intensity for higher proton yield.

# Injector for Conventional Accelerators

> The coupling between laser accelerated protons with conventional DTL for further acceleration seems possible.

> This hybrid will benefit from the unique characteristics of the laser accelerated proton source and from the flexibility of RF based accelerator structure.



Scheme of the hybrid accelerator

#### Motivation:

•Single Bunch generation; Small emittances and extremely high particle number.

#### Advantage:

- Beam parameters and quality are comparable or better
- Smaller size and easier to operate.

#### Open Question:

- Phase Space Matching [Longitudinally and Transversely].
- Repetition Rate; determine by laser (PHELIX: 1 shot per 60 min).

# Typical Experimental Setup





K. Harres et al., Physcis of Plasmas 17, 023107 (2010).

#### Proton Diagnostic with Radiochromic Films



O (%) N (%)

7.12

9.53

0.00

6.94

0.00

1



### Proton beam detection



- Radiochromic film (RCF) is a dosimetry medium which is sensitive to ionising radiation.
- Stacks of RCFs may be employed to detect and measure the characteristics of laserdriven proton beams.
- More energetic protons will penetrate to greater depths in the film pack, hence spectral information can be gleaned from RCF data.
- Since the bulk of proton energy deposition occurs in the region around the Bragg peak, different layers in the RCF stack can be assigned different energies.



# Proton Beam Parameters for PHELIX (F. Nürnberg thesis)

RANKFURT AM MAIN

- Stacks of RCFs may be employed to detect and measure the characteristics of laser-driven proton beams.
- By knowing the size of proton beam in RCF and the distance between target and detector, the envelope divergence can be determined.
- For small proton energies the angle of beam spread in nearly constant.
- BUT for increasing energy the angle decreases approximately linear.



### **Proton Spectrum**

GOETHE UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT AM MAIN

The proton energy in RCF is known from the Bragg peak, which is determine from the losing kinetic (deposited) energy curve.



 $E_{total} = \int \frac{dN(E')}{dE} \times E_{loss}(E') dE' \qquad N_0 = 6.69 \times 10^{12} \text{ and } k_B T = 3.97 MeV$  $\frac{dN}{dE} = \frac{N_0}{\sqrt{2Ek_BT}} \times \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2E}{k_BT}}\right), \text{Isothermal, quasi - neutral plasma expansion}$ 



• The highest energy protons are accelerated in the center.

• The diameter of the proton source on the rear surface of the target decreases linear with increasing proton energy.



# **Phase Space Matching**



#### Transverse:

- Strongly angular divergent with energy dependent (up ±25°);
   requires strong focusing pulsed solenoid (B > 18 T).
- Geometric aberration.





#### > Longitudinal:

- An exponential particle spectrum with an energy spread of 100%.
- Chromatic aberration.
- Small part of energy spectrum can use classical accelerators.
- Short bunch length (<1ps); Small phase spread < 0.1 degree.</p>



#### Courtesy M. Roth





### Generation of Particle Distribution



 In TNSA process, the protons are expected to be space charge neutralized to a high degree with the co-moving electrons.









- Growing electrostatic energy by charge separation is delivered from transversal energy of protons.
- specie to specie transfer.

#### Potential Distribution - electrons



Due to magnetic field, the charge separation leads to a negative on axis potential.

5





- Minimum electric potential on axis of about 40kV.
- The potential is reaching almost constant along z axis within the bunch at t = 40ps.
- An initial plasma oscillation is almost damped at t = 40 ps.

### Tracking through the Solenoid



- The electrons start to escape and accelerated to high energies in both z-directions.
- The central part of proton distribution  $(r < |500\mu m|)$  is strongly focused.



#### Up to t $\approx$ 3.4 ns corresponds to z = 15 cm center of bunch



Due to space charge relaxing, the influence of co-moving electrons can be neglected.



- The selected energy band 10±0.5 MeV will be injected into the CH-DTL.
- A maximum potential on axis of +14 kV was reached at position z = 11 cm, while its level for the energy of interest 10 MeV, z ≈ 15 cm was reached +4 kV.
- The simulation with LASIN ends at this point, and the proton distribution was adapted as input dist. for CH DTL.



- Four cavities with 34 gaps.
- Operating frequency: 325 MHz.
- Accelerating gradient: vary from 7 12.6 MV/m.
- A proton bunch will be accelerated from 10 -40 MeV.
- To avoid beam losses, the first cavity is limited by 7 gaps.
- Total length: 5 m.
- High gap voltage of order 1 MV is needed.



## Matched Beam Case



10

Table 1: Normalized rms- emittance values for the input and output distribution with 500 mA beam current.

Emittance	Input	Output
Transverse/	x: 3.85	4.08
mm · mrad	y: 3.85	4.06
<b>Longitudinal</b> / <i>keV</i> · <i>ns</i>	5.37	6.68



#### Transversal particle distribution





#### Longitudinal particle distribution

RMS- emittance growth



- The capability of CH- DTL to accelerate 500 mA proton bunch is approved.
- The magnetic field gradients of the quadrupoles are ranging up to 50.5 T/m.
- These simulations allow estimating the capability of the CH- structure for high current beams.

### Laser accelerated proton case

GOETHE UNIVERSITÄT

Table 2: Normalized rms- emittance values for the input and output distribution

for the laser accelerated case.

Emittance	Input	Output
Turnerselmm	x: 2.89	3.06
 Iransverse/mm·mraa	y: 2.89	3.33
Longitudinal/ keV · ns	5.34	6.86



 72% of the total number (as shown in red) is accepted by CH-DTL. The other particles are truncated with respect to the beam simulations.



Transversal (left) and longitudinal (righ) beam envelopes

- The normalized relative rms- emittance growths was reached up to 2 factor in longitudinal plane and less than 16% in each transverse plane.
- In comparing with matched case, the deformed longitudinal emittance is the main reason for the longitudinal emittance growth.





The optimized magnetic field of the quadrupoles are ranging up to 50.8 T/m.

### Beam Parameters Dependent on Gain Voltage GOETH

- The high acceleration gradient is not only needed to accelerate to high energy but also to prevent the beam losses.
- Two cases are compared ( $\Delta E = \pm 0.5 \text{ MeV}$ ):
  - Acceleration from 10 40 MeV within 4.92 m length.
  - Acceleration from 10 25 MeV within 4.54 m length (50% reduction).



 Table 3: A comparison between different accelerating gradient cases in terms of the

 transmission and normalized rel. rms emittance growth at different beam current.

GOETHE

ERSI

Current (A)	Acc. gradient	Transmission (%)	Norm. rel. rms emittance
			growth
0.0	$V_0$	100.0	1.16
	$0.5V_{0}$	100.0	1.15
0.5	$V_0$	100.0	1.23
	$0.5V_{0}$	99.8	1.69
1.0	$V_0$	100.0	1.32
	$0.5V_{0}$	98.5	2.12

- For the 1<sup>st</sup> case where the accelerating gradient was  $V_o$  resulting 100% transmissions up to 1 *A*. While in 2<sup>nd</sup> case, 100 % transmission is valid up to 400 *mA* only. Beyond this point, the transmission starts to decrease with increasing beam current.
- The emittance growth shows quite different between  $V_0$  and  $0.5 V_0$  case.

### Beam Power for a Single Bunch (U. Ratzinger)

 One great advantage of high current, single bunch passage along the cavity is that the amplifier only has to provide the loss power in the cavity walls.

$$W = \frac{P_{loss} \cdot Q_0}{\omega} \qquad P_{loss} = \frac{\left(N_G T_f U_0\right)^2}{Z_{eff} \cdot L}$$
$$Q_{Bunch} = \frac{2 \cdot A \cdot W}{T_f \cdot U_0 \cdot N_G}$$

For the first cavity of the proposed linac, the cavity parameter can be estimated to

$$L = 0.5 m$$
;  $Z_{eff} = 60 M\Omega/m$ ;  $N_G = 7 gaps$ ;  $T_f = 0.8$ ;  $U_0 = 1.0 MV$ ;  $Q_0 = 12500$ 

> The wall losses results in  $P_{loss} = 1.05$  MW, and the stored field energy W = 6.43 J.

> The tolerance A = 0.01,  $Q_{Bunch} = 2.3 \times 10^{-8}C$  and this corresponding to a proton

number  $N_p = 1.44 \times 10^{11}$  per bunch.

This shows that the single bunch beam load will not affect the cavity oscillations.

### Conclusion and Outlook



- $\checkmark$  Special features of TNSA require special code development (3D PIC LASIN code).
- ✓ CH- DTL simulations approved with 500 mA equivalent linac current and even more??
- $\checkmark$  The first CH- cavity for Laser accelerated protons is demonstrated.
- $\checkmark$  Realistic distribution with co-moving electrons is generated (input from measurements and simulations) and used in LORASR simulations.
- $\checkmark$  Effective acceptance and acceleration of 72% of the whole protons is proved.
- $\checkmark$  Emittance growth in longitudinal plane due to the deformed input emittance.
- $\checkmark$  Comparison of A.) with B.) simulations.
- $\checkmark$  Further improvement could be needed.
- ✓ Continue the CH- DTL design by MWS Simulations and technical developments, beam loading .....
- ✓ Built the first dedicated cavity....



"Anyone who has never made a mistake has never tried anything new" Albert Einstein

# Thank you for Listening!!